**HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL**

Writ Petition No. 164 of 2003 (SS)

Devendra Prasad Bhatt s/o Sri Prayag Datt Bhatt
Seasonal Collection Amin, PO Barakot,
District Champawat ..... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
2. Collector/District Magistrate, Champawat
3. Sub Divisional Magistrate, Champawat
4. Tehsildar, Champawat ..... Respondents

Sri Pankaj Miglani, Advocate for the petitioner
Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Dated: 9.3.2006

**Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.**

Heard the learned counsel for the parties at length.

By the present writ petition, the petitioners has prayed for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to regularise the service of the petitioner and also to set aside the suspension of the petitioner passed by oral order.

Briefly stated that the petitioner along with others was given regular work as Seasonal Collection Amin without any break in their service till 31st March 2003. On 31st March 2003, respondent no. 4 Tehsildar Champawat called the petitioner and asked him to come on the next day. On the next day he was asked not to go for any collection of revenue. The petitioner made a representation to the respondent no. 4 on 19.4.2003 but the same has not been disposed of. Again the petitioner

preferred a representation to the respondent no. 2 on 21.4.2003 but the same has not been decided as yet.

The counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer for early disposal of the representation of the petitioner in the light of the decision given by Allahabad in the Writ Petition no. 9557 of 1997 Umrao Singh Rawat and others vs. State of U.P. & others.

In view of above respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in the light of the judgment of Allahabad High Court in the case Umrao Singh Rawat (supra) within two months after the receipt of the certified copy of this order.

Subject to the observation made above, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Dated: 9.3.2006 **Rajesh Tandon, J.**

*Dhyani